### Wayne Berry

# Hawaiian Express Service

Jeffrey H. Kinrich Analysis Group, Inc.

# **Summary of Opinions**

Mr. Ueno's inflated "reasonable license fee" contains 

factual and conceptual errors

Fleming Hawaii has little or no profits to disgorge 

Fleming Hawaii lost \$861,478

Fleming Hawaii reduced its losses post-infringement

FCS generated little or no cost savings for Fleming

Mr. Berry's direct damages are no more than \$16,800 

#### Wayne Berry

Hawaiian Express Service

Jeffrey H. Kinrich Analysis Group, Inc.

# **Summary of Opinions**

Mr. Ueno's inflated "reasonable license fee" contains 

factual and conceptual errors

Fleming Hawaii has little or no profits to disgorge 

Fleming Hawaii lost \$861,478

Fleming Hawaii reduced its losses post-infringement

FCS generated little or no cost savings for Fleming

Mr. Berry's direct damages are no more than \$16,800 **%** 

## Mr. Ueno's "Reasonable License Fee" Per Container Calculation

Mr. Ueno's "reasonable license fee" per container =

"Fleming Acknowledged

Fee"

\$1,295,000

Between 10/9/99 and 1/10/00 # of Containers Processed 731

Mr. Ueno makes arbitrary

Mr. Ueno misstates facts

in using this number

assumptions in coming

up with this number

# Mr. Ueno's "Reasonable License Fee" Per Container Calculation

Mr. Ueno bases his "reasonable license fee" per 

container on:

Fee allegedly paid by Fleming to license FCS

software

Period of time that license fee allegedly covered 

#### **ARE BASED ON FAULTY** BOTH OF THESE ITEMS ASSUMPTIONS

# "Fleming Acknowledged Fee" Used by Mr. Ueno Has Nothing to Do with the FCS

What does the \$1,295,000 fee used by Mr. Ueno represent? 

Fleming forgave its uncollectible note against API

API forgave offsetting claims it had against Fleming

API could not pay the \$1,295,000 it owed Fleming

- Value of the note less than \$1,295,000

Fleming purchased all of API's assets except for the FCS

Fleming received a free license from Mr. Berry for the FCS

Mr. Berry received no money from Fleming Ž.

Fleming never paid a license fee for the FCS

### Containers Processed by Fleming is Arbitrary Mr. Ueno's Calculation of the Number of

Why does Mr. Ueno use the time period of 10/9/99 

Case 1:03-cv-00385-DAE-LEK

1/10/00 in his "reasonable license fee" calculation?:

Assumes Fleming stops using the FCS by 1/10/00 but...

Several documents contradict assertion

Fleming had a free license to use the FCS

Extending time period would reduce the

"reasonable license fee"

- BUT: Fleming's free license had no end date

### Other Conceptual Problems with Mr. Ueno's "Reasonable License Fee" Calculation

Mr. Ueno calculates the wrong license fee:

Calculated based on value of the entire FCS

Should only be related to the infringing portion

Fleming had free license to use the unaltered FCS

Logistics software not priced per container

Not the way logistics software is purchased

Mr. Berry's other logistics software not licensed in this way

1

## "Reasonable License Fee" Calculation (Cont.) Other Conceptual Problems with Mr. Ueno's

Mr. Ueno's results are unreasonable: 

Implies Fleming would pay approximately \$100,000 per week for the FCS

Mr. Berry offered to sell entire FCS software for \$300,000

Fleming turned him down and received a free license

Mr. Berry sold a license for superior FCS software to Y. Hata for \$150,000

### Fleming Hawaii Lost Money During the Infringement Period

Fleming Hawaii Financial Performance April 1, 2003 – June 8, 2003

**Net Sales** 

\$54,435,793

**Gross Margin** 

Less: SG&A

Operating Income

Less: Other Expenses

Net Income

707,409 504,877 202,533 1,064,011

-\$861,478

### Fleming Hawaii Lost Money During the Infringement Period

Fleming Hawaii Financial Performance April 1, 2003 – June 8, 2003

Net Sales

Less: Cost of Goods Sold

**Gross Margin** 

Less: SG&A

Operating Income

Less: Other Expenses

\$54,435,793

53,728,383 707,409 504,877 202,533

101

1,064,011

## Fleming Hawaii's Performance Improved After **Use of FCS Ceased**

Comparison of Fleming Financial Performance

Infringing

Non-Infringing 6/9/03 - 8/23/03

4/1/03 - 6/8/03

\$343,196

\$861,478

Net Loss

69

\$4,516

# of Days

Loss per Day

\$12,485

## Even if Fleming Did Have Profits, They are not Attributable to the FCS Software

Cost savings generated through know-how of the 

staff

Fleming still able to save on costs without using the FCS

software

### Fleming's Costs Increased Slightly After Abandoning the FCS Software

Fleming hired a clerk @ \$25,000/year total cost

Infringing period < 1/5 of a year</li>

Total cost savings to Fleming < \$5,000

How was Berry damaged?

"I knew that Fleming would have to come to me to make changes to my work." (Declaration of Wayne Berry, 9/13/05, p. 8) By changing FCS, Fleming denied Mr. Berry compensation

Mr. Berry's damages equal his fee to modify FCS 2

Total Hours Required to Make Modifications

<

Mr. Berry's Hourly Rate

11

Total Cost to Modify the FCS software

# Estimate of Total Hours Required to Make Modifications

	Number	Number of Hours	
	Low	High	
Removal of Extraneous Tables	32	40	
Addition of Vendor Defaults	32	40	
Standardization of Forms	32	32	
Alteration of Accounts Receivable Payment Form	24	24	
Modification of Tab Orders	&	16	
Ad Hoc Changes	∞	16	
Total Hours Needed	136	168	

Determination of Mr. Berry's hourly rate

Mr. Berry told Y. Hata he charges \$100/hr.

Fleming received \$90/hr. quote from application developer

\$100/hr. reasonable rate for Mr. Berry to modify FCS

High	168		\$100
Low	136		\$100
	Total Hours Required to Make Modifications	*	Mr. Berry's Hourly Rate

Total Cost to Modify the FCS software

\$13,600

\$16,800

#### Summary

Fleming Hawaii's profits attributable to infringing use of

the FCS are between \$0 and \$5,000

Mr. Berry's direct damages are between \$13,600 and

\$16,800